

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,531	06/15/2006	Lutz Rapp	112740-1141	7634
	7590 10/11/2007		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLP P.O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690			HELLNER, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3663	
			r	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/596,531	RAPP, LUTZ				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Hellner	3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVOIDE A	MONTHO OR THEFTY (20) RAVO				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo te, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 A	<u> August 2007</u> .					
·—	, —					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application.						
, , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· <u> </u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Claim(s) 7,8,11 and 12 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9, 10 and 13</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement					
o) are subject to restriction array	or olootion roquiroment.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
, <u> </u>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
ı		•				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7, 8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Feulner et al (6,366,393).

Feulner et al disclose a device for controlling an optical amplifier comprising: means (column 3; line 32, line 47 and lines 58-62) for receiving an optical wavelength division multiplexing signal having a number of channels of different wavelengths; means (201) for amplifying the optical wavelength multiplexed signal; means (710) for measuring the state of gain of the amplifier; and means (703 and 240) for detecting a change in input or output power.

The abstract teaches that by controlling the pump power directly in response to changes in input power, gain of the optical amplifier can be controlled within a submicrosecond time scale.

The teachings recite above anticipate claim 7.

Claim 8 reads on the normal operating state of the amplifier.

The microprocessor (710) calculates and feeds its signal to the controller (220) and, as such, reads on claim 11.

Claim 12 reads on the memory of the microprocessor (710).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,531

Art Unit: 3663

Claims 9-10 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/15/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant first argues that Feulner et al does not detect a change of input or output power of all channels.

Column 5, line 18 teaches that the photodetector (240) measure the total input power of the WDM signal. Because total power is a function of all wavelengths present, this teaching meets the limitation argued.

Applicant secondly argues that Feulner et al does not teach that a change in input power occurs in a time that is smaller than a reaction time of the amplifier.

Column 2, lines 47 to 49 teach that gain control can be effected before changes in input power reach the gain medium of the optical amplifier.

This means that the changes in input power occur on a scale that is smaller than the ability of the gain medium to react to input power changes and, as such, broadly reads on the limitation argued.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,531

Art Unit: 3663

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mark Hellner at

telephone number 571 272 6981.

Mark Hellner

Primary Examiner

AU 3663

Mark Helhon